

Technical Reviewers' Rating Summary

Proposal Number **G-029-05**
 Application Title **Oil Can Program**
 Submitted By **North Dakota Petroleum Council**
 Request For **\$630,910.00**
 Total Project Costs **\$1,560,420.00**

Section A. Scoring

Statement	Weight	G-029-H	G-029-E	Avg. Score
1. Objectives	9	4	5	40
2. Achievability	4	5	5	20
3. Performance Measurement	5	3	3	15
4. Methodology	8	3	5	32
5. Contribution	8	3	5	32
6. Awareness / Background	5	4	5	22
7. Project Management	3	4	5	13
8. Equipment / Facilities	2	4	5	9
9. Value / Industry - Budget	3	3	5	12
10. Financial Match - Budget	3	5	5	15
Avg. Weighted Score		183	240	211
OVERALL				
FUND		X	X	
TO BE CONSIDERED				
DO NOT FUND				

Section B. Ratings and Comments

1. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with North Dakota Industrial Commission/Oil and Gas Research Council goals are:

“”

- *Reviewer: G-029-H*

- *Rating: 4 (Very Clear)*

“”

- *Applicant*

“”

- *Reviewer: G-029-E*

- *Rating: 5 (Exceptionally Clear)*

“”

- *Applicant*

2. With the approach suggested and time and budget available, the objectives are:
“The NDPC clearly has a successful record of past achievements in the same type of educational programs it proposes in this grant request.”
- Reviewer: G-029-H
- Rating: 5 (Certainly Achievable)
“
- Applicant
“
- Reviewer: G-029-E
- Rating: 5 (Certainly Achievable)
“
- Applicant

3. A method for a measurement of success has been clearly defined:

“The NDPC has acknowledged that measuring the response of the public can be difficult, but the professional public surveys conducted in the past will continue to measure future success.”

- Reviewer: G-029-H

- Rating: 3 (Clear)

“”

- Applicant

“”

- Reviewer: G-029-E

- Rating: 3 (Clear)

“”

- Applicant

4. The quality of the methodology displayed in the proposal is:

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-H*

- *Rating: 3 (Average)*

“

- *Applicant*

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-E*

- *Rating: 5 (Well Above Average)*

“

- *Applicant*

5. The educational scientific and/or technical and/or economic contribution of the proposed work to specifically address North Dakota Industrial Commission/Oil and Gas Research Council goals will likely be:

“There is an ongoing need to "get out the facts" from professionals within the DMR,NDPA, NDSL, NDDOT, and industry representatives so that residents can understand the efforts being made to safely grow North Dakota. ”

- Reviewer: G-029-H

- Rating: 3 (Significant)

“

- Applicant

“

- Reviewer: G-029-E

- Rating: 5 (Extremely Significant)

“

- Applicant

6. The background of the principal investigator, and the awareness of current educational activity, and involvement of industry partners of the published literature as evidenced by referenced literature related to the proposal is:

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-H*

- *Rating: 4 (Better Than Average)*

“

- *Applicant*

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-E*

- *Rating: 5 (Exceptional)*

“

- *Applicant*

7. The project management plan, including a well-defined milestone chart, schedule, financial plan, and plan for communications among the investigators and subcontractors, if any, is:

“The NDPC has a great deal of experience in successful educational outreach and past experience that allows them to set out well designed and managed program with confidence.”

- Reviewer: G-029-H

- Rating: 4 (Very Good)

“”

- Applicant

“”

- Reviewer: G-029-E

- Rating: 5 (Exceptionally Good)

“”

- Applicant

8. The proposed materials and media to be developed or used are:

“”

- *Reviewer: G-029-H*

- *Rating: 4 (Well Justified)*

“”

- *Applicant*

“”

- *Reviewer: G-029-E*

- *Rating: 5 (Extremely Well Justified)*

“”

- *Applicant*

9. The proposed budget “value”¹ relative to the outlined work and the financial commitment from other sources is of:

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-H*

- *Rating: 3 (Average Value)*

“

- *Applicant*

“

- *Reviewer: G-029-E*

- *Rating: 5 (Very High Value)*

“

- *Applicant*

10. The “financial commitment”² from other sources in terms of “match funding” have been identified:
“The high financial commitment from other sources for future Oil Can! programs confirms the past success and continued need of such educational outreach.”
- *Reviewer: G-029-H*
 - *Rating: 5 (Very High Value)*
 - “
 - *Applicant*
 - “
 - *Reviewer: G-029-E*
 - *Rating: 5 (Very High Value)*
 - “
 - *Applicant*

General Comments

“Very importantly, the Oil Can program has a history of success and sound management in education and outreach, giving the public direct access to industry professionals and state agencies for accurate and timely information.”

- Reviewer: G-029-H

““”

- Reviewer: G-029-E

1 “value” – The value of the projected work and technical outcome for the budgeted amount of the project, based on your estimate of what the work might cost in research settings with which you are familiar. A commitment of support from industry partners equates to a higher value.

2 “financial commitment” from other sources – A minimum of 50% of the total project must come from other sources to meet the program guidelines. Support less than 50% from Industrial Commission sources should be evaluated as favorable to the application; industry partnerships equates to increased favorability.