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Grant Request Details

Description: Use laboratory methods to determine loss of conductivity relative to potential proppant or formation face collapse and suggest means to maintain conductivity.

Applicant – Energy & Environmental Research Center
Partners:
  - Insight Consulting, Mike Vincent
  - Carbo Ceramics, Robert Duenckel, Director of Technical Development
  - U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) Joint Research Program
  - North Dakota Geologic Survey

Request – $150,000
Cost Share NETL – $113,201
Cost Share Carbo – $69,231
Total Program – $332,432

12-month contract
Technical Justification

OGRC Project
Continental Resources Inc.

G-018-039 “Determination of the Uniqueness of Reserves and Productivity from the Middle Bakken and the Three Forks Sanish Zones”

- Fracture communication from Middle Bakken into Three Forks wellbore.
- Production separate, healed LBS.
- Results suggesting well spacing and potential recovery.
Methodology

Goal: Improve production from the Three Forks and Bakken by identifying the factors that lead to the collapse of propped fractures.

1) Is conductivity loss due to collapse of proppant, or collapse of formation face, or some other mechanism?
2) Can loss of conductivity be remedied with more appropriate selection of fluid types, proppant types, or proppant concentrations/fracture widths?

Key Elements:
- Collection of core
- Penetration and embedment testing of core relative to fluids:
  - Water
  - Alkali borate cross-linked water-based gels
  - Hydrocarbon-based fracturing fluids
  - Acidic conditions from native CO$_2$ with combined oil, water, and gas production
- Conductivity testing evaluating relative proppant performance
- Validation to API and ISO standards.
Scope of Work

- Task 1 – Obtain core samples
- Task 2 – Embedment and penetration testing
- Task 3 – Proppant degradation studies
- Task 4 – Conductivity testing
- Task 5 – Analysis and reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Task 1 – Obtain Core Sample</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>June</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Task 2 – Embedment and Penetration Testing</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>August</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Task 3 – Proppant Degradation Studies</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Task 4 – Conductivity Testing</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>June</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Task 5 – Analysis and Reporting</td>
<td>223 days</td>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tools
Anticipated Results

• The first published measurements of proppant conductivity against actual Bakken core.
• The first published measurements showing how various proppants perform under conditions more similar to the Bakken in situ environment, including CO₂ and other acid gases, with actual or synthetic Bakken crude and produced water.
• A comparison of currently utilized proppants to help operators recognize the range of proppant quality, performance, and durability.

• Benefit – Significant additional oil recovery; 30% improvement = 600 million bbl.